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Training Module 2.4

Designing and developing RDF vocabularies
Learning objectives

By the end of this training module you should have an understanding of:

• What the best practices are for creating an RDF vocabulary for modelling your data
• Where to find RDF vocabularies for reuse.
• How you can create your own RDF vocabulary.
• How to publish your RDF vocabulary.
• The process and methodology for developing semantic agreements developed by the ISA Programme of the European Commission.
Content

This module is about...

- The steps for modelling your data.
- How to reuse existing vocabularies to model your data.
- How to create new classes and properties in RDF.
- How and where to publish your RDF vocabulary so that it can be reused by others.
RDF Vocabulary

“A vocabulary is a data model comprising classes, properties and relationships which can be used for describing your data and metadata.”

- RDF Vocabularies are *sets of terms* used to describe things.
- A term is either a *class or a property*.
  - Object type properties (relationships)
  - Data type properties (attributes)
What are classes, relationships and properties?

**Class.** *A construct that represents things in the real and/or information world*, e.g. a person, an organisation, a concepts such as “health” or “freedom”.

**Relationship.** *A link between two classes*; for the link between a document and the organisation that published it (i.e. organisation *publishes* document), or the link between a map and the geographic region it depicts (i.e. map *depicts* geographic region). In RDF relationships are encoded as object type properties.

**Property.** *A characteristic of a class* in a particular dimension such as the legal name of an organisation or the date and time that an observation was made.
Examples of classes, relationships and properties

Class
- RegisteredOrganisation
- Address

Relationship
- site

Property
- legalName: “Nikè”
- fullAddress: Dahliastraat 24, 2160 Wommelgem

Examples:
- RegisteredOrganisation has a URL: http://.../org/2172798119
- Address has a URL: http://example.com/site/1234
Model your data

How to reuse from other vocabularies, define your own terms and publish and promote your vocabularies to describe the data.
6 steps for modelling your data

1. Start with a **robust Domain Model** developed following a structured process and methodology.

2. Research **existing terms** and their usage and **maximise reuse** of those terms.

3. Where new terms can be seen as specialisations of existing terms, create **sub class** and **sub properties**.

4. Where **new terms** are required, create them following **commonly agreed best practice**.

5. Publish within a **highly stable environment** designed to be **persistent**.

6. Publicise the RDF schema by registering it with relevant services.

1 Start with a robust Domain Model

- **RegisteredOrganisation**
  - identifier
  - name
  - registrationDate
  - status
  - type

- **Address**
  - identifier
  - fullAddress
  - postcode

- **ContactPoint**
  - identifier
  - name
  - telephone
  - email
  - fax
  - website

- **Activity**
  - identifier
  - name

- **Certificate**
  - identifier
  - name

- **organisationCertificate**

- **organisationActivity**

- **location**

- **contactInformation**
2 Reuse existing terms and vocabularies

- General purpose vocabularies: DCMI, RDFS
- To name things: rdfs:label, foaf:name, skos:prefLabel
- To describe people: FOAF, vCard, Core Person Vocabulary
- To describe projects: DOAP, ADMS.SW
- To describe interoperability assets: ADMS
- To describe registered organisations: Registered Organisation Vocabulary
- To describe addresses: vCard, Core Location Vocabulary
- To describe public services: Core Public Service Vocabulary
- To describe datasets: DCAT, DCAT Application Profile, VoID
Creating application profiles

- **Different domains** have **different requirements** for domain-specific semantics, e.g. classification concepts.
- Generic RDF vocabularies usually provide the **core base classes** needed to allow extensions to add specific sub-class structures or classification schemes as required.
- In such cases, reusers are encouraged to define **application profiles particular to an application domain** by specifying (if required) **sub-classes**, **sub-properties** and **controlled vocabularies**.
- For example,
  - DCAT Application profile for data portals in Europe
  - Registered Organization vocabulary as an application profile of the Organization ontology.

See also: joinup.ec.europa.eu/asset/dcat_application_profile/home
Advantages of reuse

• Reuse greatly aids interoperability of your data
  ▪ Use of dcterms:created, for example, the value for which should be a data typed date such as 2013-02-21^^xsd:date, is immediately processable by many machines. If your schema encourages data publishers to use a different term and date format, such as ex:date "21 February 2013" – data published using your schema will require further processing to make it the same as everyone else's.

• Reuse adds credibility to your schema.
  ▪ It shows it has been published with care and professionalism, again, this promotes its reuse.

• Reuse is easier and cheaper.
  ▪ Reusing classes and properties from well defined and properly hosted vocabularies avoids your having to replicate that effort.
You can find reusable RDF vocabularies on...

http://lov.okfn.org/

http://joinup.ec.europa.eu/
3 Creation of sub-classes and sub-properties

• RDF schemas and vocabularies often include **terms that are very generic.**

• By creating **sub-class** and **sub-property** relationships, systems that understand the super property or super class may be able to interpret the data even if the more specific terms are unknown.

• **Do not create sub-classes and sub-properties simply to allow you to use your own term** for something that already exists.
The Registered Organization vocabulary defines three sub-properties of `org:classification`: `companyType`, `companyStatus` and `companyActivity`.

**Company Type**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>rdfs:subPropertyOf org:classification</code></td>
<td><code>org:Organization</code></td>
<td><code>skos:Concept</code></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This property records the type of company. Familiar types are SA, PLC, LLC, GmbH etc. At the time of publication, there is no agreed set of company types that crosses borders. The term 'SA' is used in Poland although they mean slightly different things. The UK's LLP and Greece's EPE provide further examples of close, but not exact, matches.

That said, each jurisdiction will have a limited set of recognized company types and these should be expressed in a consistent manner in a SKOS Concept Scheme.

**Company Status**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>rdfs:subPropertyOf org:classification</code></td>
<td><code>org:Organization</code></td>
<td><code>skos:Concept</code></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recording the status of a company presents the same issues as its type. The terms 'insolvent', 'bankrupt' and 'in receivership,' for example, are likely to mean slightly different things with different legal implications. Taking advice from [XBRL Europe](https://www.xbrl.org) as a starting point, however, the term 'Normal Activity' does appear to have cross-border usefulness and this should be used in preference to terms like 'trading' or 'operating.'

Best Practice for recording various other status levels is to use the relevant jurisdiction's terms and to encode these in a SKOS Concept Scheme.

**Company Activity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>rdfs:subPropertyOf org:classification</code></td>
<td><code>org:Organization</code></td>
<td><code>skos:Concept</code></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Defining a sub-property in RDF

```xml
<rdf:Property rdf:about="rov:companyType">
  <rdfs:label xml:lang="en">company type</rdfs:label>
  <rdfs:comment xml:lang="en" rdf:parseType="Literal">
    This property records the type of company. Familiar types are SA, PLC, LLC, GmbH etc. Each jurisdiction will have a limited set of recognised company types and these should be used in a consistent manner using a skos:Concept as described in the <a href="#skos:Concept">Code</a> Class.
  </rdfs:comment>
  <rdfs:isDefinedBy rdf:resource="http://www.w3.org/ns/regorg#"/>
  <rdfs:range rdf:resource="skos:Concept"/>
  <rdfs:subPropertyOf rdf:resource="org:classification"/>
  <dcterms:identifier>legal:companyType</dcterms:identifier>
</rdf:Property>
```
4 Where new terms are required, create them following commonly agreed best practices

- Classes begin with a capital letter and are always singular, e.g. skos:Concept.

- Properties begin with a lower case letter, e.g. rdfs:label.

- Object properties should be verbs, e.g. org:hasSite.

- Data type properties should be nouns, e.g. dcterms:description.

- Use camel case if a term has more than one word, e.g. foaf:isPrimaryTopicOf.
Defining a new class - Organisation

```xml
<rdf:RDF
    xmlns:rdfs="http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#"
    xmlns:org="http://example.org/organisation-schema">
    <rdf:Class rdf:about="org:Organisation">
        <rdfs:label xml:lang="en">Organisation</rdfs:label>
        <rdfs:comment xml:lang="en">
            Legal entity that is registered in an official national or regional register.
        </rdfs:comment>
    </rdf:Class>
</rdf:RDF>
```
Defining a new property - registrationNumber

<rdf:RDF
    xmlns:rdfs="http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#"
    xmlns:org="http://example.org/organisation-schema">
    <rdf:Property rdf:about="org:registrationNumber">
        <rdfs:label xml:lang="en">registrationNumber</rdfs:label>
        <rdfs:comment xml:lang="en">
            The number that a registered organisation receives upon registration in the official register.
        </rdfs:comment>
    </rdf:Property>
</rdf:RDF>
Defining domain and range restrictions

```xml
<rdf:RDF
    xmlns:rdfs="http://www.w3.org/2000/01/rdf-schema#"
    xmlns:org="http://example.org/organisation-schema"
    xmlns:locn="http://www.w3.org/ns/locn#">
  <rdf:Property rdf:about="org:isLocated">
    <rdfs:label xml:lang="en">isLocated</rdfs:label>
    <rdfs:comment xml:lang="en">
      The official address of the registered organisation’s headquarters.
    </rdfs:comment>
    <rdfs:domain rdf:resource="org:Organisation"/>
    <rdfs:range rdf:resource="locn:Address"/>
  </rdf:Property>
</rdf:RDF>
```

http://example.org/org/1234 org:isLocated http://dbpedia.org/page/Brussels
5 Publish within a highly stable environment designed to be persistent

• Choose a stable namespace for your RDF schema (e.g. W3C, Purl...)
• Use good practices on the publication of persistent Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI) sets, both in terms of format and of their design rules and management.

• Examples:
  - http://www.w3.org/ns/adms
  - http://purl.org/dc/elements/1.1

See also:
http://www.slideshare.net/OpenDataSupport/design-and-manage-persistent-uris
6 **Publicise the RDF schema by registering it with relevant services**

Once your RDF schema is published you will want people to know about it. To reach a wider audience register it Joinup and Linked Open Vocabularies.

http://joinup.ec.europa.eu

http://lov.okfn.org
Conclusions

Analyse
- Start with a robust Domain Model developed following a structured process and methodology.
- Research existing terms and their usage and maximise reuse of those terms.

Model
- Where new terms can be seen as specialisations of existing terms, create sub class and sub properties as appropriate.
- Where new terms are required, create them following commonly agreed best practice in terms of naming conventions etc

Publish
- Publish within a highly stable environment designed to be persistent.
- Publicise the RDF schema by registering it with relevant services.
Group exercise

In groups of 2, create the RDF description of a vocabulary for representing a citizen.

According to you, what are the main barriers to the reuse of existing RDF vocabularies?

Take also the online test here!
Thank you!
...and now YOUR questions?
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